

1 Remarks

2

3 Election of Single Disclosed Invention

4 In the Office action, a restriction requirement has been imposed upon the
5 Applicant under 35 U.S.C. 121. The Examiner contends that the application discloses
6 two separate and unrelated inventions, wherein a first invention is embodied in claims 1-
7 11, and a second invention is embodied in claims 12-18. Accordingly, the Applicant is
8 required to elect a single disclosed invention for examination.

9 In response, the Applicant hereby elects without traverse the first invention as
10 embodied in claims 1-11.

11

12 Summary

13 The Applicant believes that this response constitutes a full and complete reply to
14 the Office action.

15

16 Respectfully submitted,

17 Carl S. Chow

18 Date: AUG. 22, 2003

19 By Thomas A. Olson

20 Thomas A. Olson
Attorney and agent for Applicant
Reg. No. 44,271
Phone: (509) 327-4748

21

22

23

24

25

S/N: 09/905,579
Case 10007750-1
Election